

---

**From:** Steven Bell [Steven.Bell@tie.ltd.uk]  
**Sent:** 19 November 2009 09:25  
**To:** Richard Jeffrey; David Mackay; Stewart McGarrity; Graeme Bissett (external contact); Nolan, Brandon; Dennis Murray; Alastair Richards; Fitchie, Andrew; Jordan, Stuart  
**Cc:** Julie Thompson; Kilburn, Keith; john@nicolsonandco.com; Donny Mackinnon  
**Subject:** FW: tie - DLA Commentary on Adjudicator's Decision - Gogarburn Bridge and Carrick Knowe Bridge  
**Attachments:** 27294104\_1\_UKMATTERS(Commentary on Adjudicator\_s Decision - 18 November 2009).DOC; Edinburgh Tram Network Adjudication

**Strictly Private and Confidential: Prepared for the purposes of DRP and legal proceedings**

Gentlemen,

Please find attached analysis of the adjudicator's decisions provided by DLA yesterday evening which also incorporates input from John Nicolson and Donny Mackinnon. For ease of reference I have also attached the original e copy of the adjudicator's decisions. Dennis is undertaking a review today and will forward any additional comments. We intend to discuss this at the challenge session on Monday at 11am with the purpose of agreeing the best next steps to progress the BDDI – IFC issue.

Please do not hesitate to raise any issues or points of clarification with Dennis, myself or the DLA team in the interim.

Richard summarised matters as follows in his email:

“All, please can we think about how we present on Monday at the DRP session.

- We need to have a crisp analysis of the adjudicators decision, both from DLA and separately from McGrigors, and understand what areas of our argument the adjudicator was not convinced by, and what areas of BSC's argument he was convinced by.
- We need to avoid any sense of complacency or denial
- We need to explain all our options, especially considering what we will do if we lose the next adjudication (please think about this in advance)
  - Would now be a good time to get Richard Keane involved?
  - Should we launch the other BDDI to IFC DRPs now?
  - Should we appeal the decision?
  - Should we seek to re-engage with BSC?
  - etc
- We need an estimate (or range) of the impact on the final cost and programme if we concede the principle that the risk associated with design completion is largely ours
- We need to agree tactics and governance of future tactical steps on Monday”

I will be forwarding the DLA note to Marshall as TMO later this morning and suggesting that we discuss the way forward at the FCL on Tuesday 27 November.

With regard to our response on Russell Road Retaining Wall 4, Dennis and I will be reviewing our final draft at lunchtime today and if there are any further points to be made following our Monday review, we can include them in our final submission on 3 December.

Regards

Steven

Steven Bell  
Edinburgh Tram Project Director

tie Limited  
Citypoint  
65 Haymarket Terrace  
Edinburgh EH12 5HD

Tel: +44 (0) [REDACTED]  
Fax: +44 (0) [REDACTED]

Email: [steven.bell@tie.ltd.uk](mailto:steven.bell@tie.ltd.uk)

For more information on the Edinburgh Tram Project, visit [www.edinburghtrams.com](http://www.edinburghtrams.com)

---

**From:** Kilburn, Keith [mailto:Keith.Kilburn@dlapiper.com]  
**Sent:** 18 November 2009 17:06  
**To:** Steven Bell; Dennis Murray; Susan Clark  
**Cc:** Donny Mackinnon; john@nicolsonandco.com; Fitchie, Andrew; Jordan, Stuart  
**Subject:** tie - DLA Commentary on Adjudicator's Decision - Gogarburn Bridge and Carrick Knowe Bridge

**FOISA Exempt and Legally Privileged**

Dear Steven / Susan / Dennis

As requested, please, find attached DLA's commentary on John Hunter's decisions as adjudicator in respect of the Gogarburn Bridge and Carrick Knowe Bridge Adjudications.

The attached commentary represents our combined view on each of the decisions. There is no substantive disagreement between any of us as to the Adjudicator's logic or reasoning.

We looked through the reply on case 5c and have not been able to do anything of substance to meet, head on, the mistakes we believe were made by Mr Hunter. We are in the odd position of having aimed our arguments at Infraco's stated position, indeed having had support for tie's position on that specific issue, but lost the awards for new reasons. On RRRW, looking at essentially the same argument with Infraco but a different adjudicator, we cannot see any benefit in deconstructing an argument which has not faced tie in that referral. It will be interesting to see whether Infraco decide that Mr Hunter's approach is better or at least more advantageous than the one they have put so far. If they do adopt it, we will have the opportunity to reply.

We would be happy to discuss the attached commentary, on its own or together with preparation in respect of Case 5c (the Response to the Referral Notice which is to be issued tomorrow), and in this regard Stuart is available until 1 o'clock tomorrow.


Kind regards

Keith

<< 27294104\_1\_UKMATTERS(Commentary on Adjudicator\_s Decision - 18 November 2009).DOC>>

**Keith Kilburn**  
Solicitor  
**Engineering & Construction**  
DLA Piper Scotland LLP  
T: + 44 (0) [REDACTED]  
F: + 44 (0) [REDACTED]

Visit [www.dlapiperREaWorld.com](http://www.dlapiperREaWorld.com) - DLA Piper's guide to international real estate investment

 Please consider the environment before printing my email

This email is from DLA Piper Scotland LLP. The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential to the intended recipient. They may not be disclosed to or used by or copied in any way by anyone other than the intended recipient. If this e mail is received in error, please contact DLA Piper Scotland LLP on +44 (0) 8700 111111 quoting the name of the sender and the email address to which it has been sent and then delete it. Please note that neither DLA Piper Scotland LLP nor the sender accepts any responsibility for viruses and it is your responsibility to scan or otherwise check this email and any attachments. DLA Piper Scotland LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in Scotland (registered number SO300365), which provides services from offices in Scotland. A list of members is open for inspection at its registered office and principal place of business Rutland Square, Edinburgh, EH1 2AA. Partner denotes member of a limited liability partnership. DLA Piper Scotland LLP is regulated by the Law Society of Scotland and is a member of DLA Piper, a global legal services organisation, the members of which are separate and distinct legal entities. For further information, please refer to [www.dlapiper.com](http://www.dlapiper.com). ----

---

The information transmitted is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail please notify the sender immediately at the email address above, and then delete it.

E-mails sent to and by our staff are monitored for operational and lawful business purposes including assessing compliance with our company rules and system performance. TIE reserves the right to monitor emails sent to or from addresses under its control.

No liability is accepted for any harm that may be caused to your systems or data by this e-mail. It is the recipient's responsibility to scan this e-mail and any attachments for computer viruses.

Senders and recipients of e-mail should be aware that under Scottish Freedom of Information legislation and the Data Protection legislation these contents may have to be disclosed to third parties in response to a request.

tie Limited registered in Scotland No. SC230949. Registered office - City Chambers, High Street, Edinburgh, EH1 1YT.

---