	A	В	С	D	E
1	Options Considerer				
2	Spend to Date:				
3	Non-BSC Costs to Date (a)	236.5	236.5	236.5	-
4	SDS (Post Novation Design) Costs to Date (b)	9	9	9	9
5	CAF (b)	47.5	47.5	47.5	47.5
6	BB+S Spend to Date ('Premium' £33m included) (b)	113	113	113	113
7	BB+S COWD accounting adjustment 'to go' (f)	11	11	11	11
8	Sub-total Spend to Date (P11 2010/11)	417	417	417	180.5
9	Spend 'to Go':				
10	Non BSC Project Costs 'to Go' (c)	29.9	29.9	29.9	-
11	Non BSC Construction Costs 'to Go' (HYM to SAS) (d)	19.2	19.2	19.2	
12	Mediation & Professional Fees (g) & Reinstatement Costs (e)	5.5	5.5	5.5	_
13	Sub-total-Non BSC Costs 'to Go'	54.6	54.6	54.6	0
14	BSC Costs 'to Go':				
15	BB+S 'to Go' Infrastructure to Haymarket (d)	123.8	123.8	244.7	244.7
16	CAF, SDS Cost 'to Go' (f)	16	16	24	24
17	Sub-total BSC Costs 'to Go'	139.8	139.8	268.7	268.7
18	Further Delay/Risk 'to Go' (d)	21.6	86.6	20	-
19	<u>Settlement</u>				
20	Add Back Differential of Evaluation vs. Cash	-33.2	-33.2	-	_
21	Add delay Allowance (9 months)	21	21	included	
22	Other Entitlements (excluding further EoT allowance 'x')	19	19	-	
23	Sub-total Settlement (excluding further EoT 'x')	6.8	6,8	0	0
24	Total	639.8	704.8	760.3	449.2
25					
26		TR Phoenix Number			
27			Air to SAS		
28			Infraco		
29 30		802.5	Non BSC to Date		
31	tie numbers	00000	Dial Out Infraco to Date		
32	320.3 TIE PHOENIX	*****	Risk		
33		****	Project Costs to go		

	A	В	С	D	E
34		731			

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1	Contract Con	e de la Company				
2	BB+S Costs (to Haymarket)	247.8	247.8	368.7	368.7	368.7
3	CAF	62.5	62.5	65.4	65.4	65.4
4	SDS (Post Novation)	10	10	15.1	15.1	15.1
5	Sub-total BSC Costs	320.3	320.3	449.2	449.2	449.2
6	Further Delay/ Risk 'to Go' with BSC	17.1	77.1	20	77.1	
7	Non BSC Construction Costs (HYM to SAS) (d)	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	
8	Non BSC Project Costs	266.4	266.4	266.4	266.4	
9	Mediation & Professional Fees (g) & Reinstatement (e)	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	
10	Sub-total Non-BSC Costs	291.1	291.1	291.1	291,1	0
11	Add Back Differential of Evaluation vs. Cash	-33.2	-33.2	H	H	
12	Add delay Allowance (9 months)	21	21	Included	Included	
13	Other Entitlements (excluding further allowance EoT 'x')	19	19	#.	A.	
14	Sub-total Settlement (excluding further EoT 'x')	6.8	6.8	- :	-	
15	Total	635.3	695.3	760.3	817.4	449.2
16		695.3				

	A	В	С	D	E	F
1						
2		1.00				
3	Bilfinger Berger (civils)	97.9	85.6	83.2	80.2	
4	Siemens	58.5	57.1			
5	Prelims	40.5	52.9			
6	EoT	21	18.5			
7	Princes St (including PSSA)	13.5	13.5			
8	Section 1A works	4.2	3.5			
9	Premium	33.2	-			
10	Other Entitlements	7	19			
11	Total	268.8	250.1			368.7

	Α	В	С
1	Options Considered	se Separation Position Em	sikely BSC Separation ofter
2	Non-BSC Costs to Date (a)	236.5	
3	SDS (Post Novation Design) Costs to Date (b)	9	
4	CAF (b)	47.5	
5	BB+S Spend to Date (b)	113	
6	BB+S COWD accounting adjustment 'to go' (f)	11	
7	Sub-total Spend to Date (P11 2010/11)	417	
8	Non BSC Project Costs 'to Go' (c)	34.9	
9	Non BSC Construction Costs to Haymarket (d)	123.8	
10	Non BSC Construction Costs 'to Go' (HYM to SAS) (d)	19.2	
11	Non BSC 'Other Costs 'to Go' (e)	14.4	
12	Other highly variable costs (g)	13	
13	Sub-total-Non BSC Costs 'to Go'	205.3	
14	CAF, SDS Cost 'to Go' (f)	16	
15	Add Back Differential of Evaluation vs. Cash	-33.2	
16	Other Entitlements	19	
17	Settlement Premium		
18	Total	624.1	

	A	В	С	D	F	F
1	COMMERCIALLY SENSITIVE - FOISA EXEMPT				_	·
-	Airport to St Andrews Square					
3	, in post to oth manorito oquality			Risk		
4		Base Cost	Notes	Low	High	Description
5	Phoenix	635.3	1			·
6				62		High side Risk and Exclusions
7				4.8		GHP HAY to SAS additional
8				702,1	817.4	
9						
10		Base Cost	Notes	Low	High	Description
11	Phoenix (BSC Only)	377.4	1			
12				77		High side Risk and Exclusions
13				454.4		
14						
15	Separation	624.1		Low	High	
16				43,2	33.2	Add Back Premium (+ 10 for subbies)
17					50	Settlement Risk
18				6	- 6	High Side Design and Professional Costs
19						Bad Project Risk (High Side Risk c30% of AIR - SAS Reprocure) low view (15%)
20						Siemens Risk on Systems Reprocurement
21						Inflation Risk (5% of contract value of work to do over 3 years)
22				720.3	806.3	
23						
24	Attrition	867	2			There is an "x" number on this scenario that is very hard to quantify as a result of on going dispute, legal and delay costs.
25				867	'	
26						
	1. tie low side view as base point					
	2. Deck chair tie default scenario (High)					
29						
30	Agreed in Principle					
31	At each designerment					
32	Agree to Agree, the numbers are highly variable					

A	В	С	D	Е	F
1 COMMERCIALLY SENSITIVE - FOISA EXEMPT					
2 Seperate - No reprocurement					
3			Risk		
4	Base Cost	Notes	Low	High	Description
5					
6 Seperation - All Project	454.1	. 1	Low	High	
7			43.2	33.2	Add Back Premium (+ 10 for subbies)
8				50	Settlement Risk
9			6	6	High Side Design and Professional Costs
10			503.3	543.3	
11					
12 1. Deck chair SooC mid range					
13					
14 Seperation - BSC Only	199.7	1	Low	High	
15			43.2	33.2	Add Back Premium (+ 10 for subbies)
16				50	Settlement Risk
17			6	6	High Side Design and Professional Costs
18			248.9	288.9	
19					
20 1. Deck chair SooC mid range					