
From: Nick Smith
Sent: 08 October 2010 09:31
To: Alastair Maclean; Carol Campbell
Subject: FW:

Kind regards

Nick

Nick Smith
Principal Solicitor - Commercial, Procurement & Finance
Legal Services Division
City of Edinburgh Council
Level 3, Waverley Court
East Market Street
Edinburgh EH8 8BG

(t) [REDACTED]

Please note that I am not in the office on a Monday

From: Nick Smith
Sent: 08 October 2010 09:30
To: Alan Coyle
Subject:

Alastair is keen to ensure we go no further than we did in June. However, it seems illogical to just provide the same figures as in June. We have therefore updated them, though the principle remains the same. In an ideal world we'd leave out the whole para but I understand the info is to be included.

New para 2.49 -

tie has been exercising its various rights and remedies under the contract. Further to the figures reported to the Council in June, to date the application of the dispute resolution process to disputed matters has reduced BSC's claims for additional payment from £21.9m to £9.5m (a saving of £12.4m). **tie** remains satisfied that the overall balance of dispute resolution including adjudication outcomes has more than justified its interrogation of the initial claims made by BSC. The overall outcome of the DRPs, in terms of legal principles, remains finely balanced and subject to debate between the parties.

Kind regards

Nick

Nick Smith
Principal Solicitor - Commercial, Procurement & Finance
Legal Services Division
City of Edinburgh Council
Level 3, Waverley Court
East Market Street
Edinburgh EH8 8BG

(t) [REDACTED]

Please note that I am not in the office on a Monday